Interfacing C and occam-\(\pi\)

and an “application link layer”
Why C Anyway?

occam-π

- Hoare’s CSP
- π-calculus
- freedom from race-hazard and aliasing error
- compositional, scalable
- (50ns context-switch)

C

- evolved from assembler
- free-range aliasing through pointers
- structural, imperative
- little support for concurrency

➽ However, C is widely used and has evolved to fit its usage – principally operating-systems, applications and libraries

➽ Most language systems provide mechanisms for interfacing with C, typically in a single function-call/return style – occam-π is no exception
Interfacing C and occam-π

Existing occam-π/C Interfaces

- Plain external C function-calls [Wood, 1998]
  - occam-π processes suspended during the call

- Blocking external calls [Barnes, 2000]
  - call executed in a separate OS thread
  - some overhead in call dispatch/collection/kill

- User defined channels [Barnes, 2002]
  - calls C functions on input/output/ALT
  - mixed blocking/non-blocking

- Main drawback is that storing state between C function calls is awkward
  — imperative vs. process-oriented incompatibilities
CSP Concurrency in C

» CCSP [Moores, 1999]
  • earlier version of the scheduler used by KRoC for occam-π
  • API similar to Inmos’s (for C on the Transputer)

» MESH [Boosten et al., 1999]
  • same code base as CCSP
  • included low-level packet drivers for ethernet chipsets, modelled as channel-communication

» Both good for programming concurrent systems in C, and fairly portable (limited use of in-line assembler)

» But lack the good properties of occam-π — e.g. freedom from parallel aliasing and race-hazard errors (although with good design, scope for these errors is more limited compared with a threads+locks model)
The occam-$\pi$ C Interface

- Provides a mechanism that allows occam-$\pi$ and C processes to co-exist

- Communicating through ordinary occam-$\pi$ channels
  - mobile channel-types
  - and synchronising on (mobile) barriers

- Also process priority, extended synchronisations, ...
The occam-π C Interface

- Works by encapsulating C code inside an occam-π process
  - no changes needed to the run-time kernel
  - slight overhead switching between the C and occam-π contexts
    — comparable to the cost of an ordinary context-switch (sub 100ns)

- All facilities available to occam-π processes are available to their C counterparts
  - provided the appropriate interface code is written — typically in C or assembler
  - approximately 80% of the occam-π functionality is catered for
The encapsulating occam-π process has a fixed workspace:

- standard scheduler state
- parallel sub-processes state
- C process state

C stack size explicitly given

Overheads incurred when saving/restoring parts of this state — occam-π run-time expects certain values in hardware registers

- potential for optimisation, by having additional entry-points in the run-time kernel

Additional overheads when POSIX threads are enabled — used for blocking system-calls
The Application Programming Interface

Based on the Inmos/CCSP C APIs, extended for occam-$\pi$

```c
void integrate (Process *me, Channel *in,
                 Channel *out)
{
    int total = 0;
    for (; ; ) {
        int x;
        ChanInInt (in, &x);
        total += x;
        ChanOutInt (out, total);
    }
}
```

Invoking the process from occam-$\pi$ is mildly complicated, really want:

```
#PRAGMA EXTERNAL "PROC CIF.integrate (CHAN INT in?, out!) = 20"
CIF.integrate (c?, d!)
```

(and to automate this too...)
The Application Programming Interface

Mobile channel-types are mirrored in C structures:

- C structure reflects the occam-π run-time implementation
- ‘refcount’ tracks liveness – when it drops to zero, the channel structure should be freed
- ‘clisem’ and ‘svrsem’ are the semaphores associated with shared ends

```c
typedef struct ct_BAR {
    int refcount;
    Channel serve;
    Channel beer;
    CTSem clisem;
    CTSem svrsem;
} BAR;
```

```c
void bartender (BAR *link) {
    int job;
    CTSemClaim (&link->svrsem);
    ChanInInt (&link->serve, &job);
    /* process and reply */
    CTSemRelease (&link->svrsem);
}
```
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More Interesting Applications

Because of free-range types in C, can write “generic” components:

\[
\text{buffer}(N)
\]

But to do it properly, C needs to be aware of the protocols involved.

This information can currently be generated for mobile channel-types, allowing us to build:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CHAN TYPE} & \quad \text{FOO} \\
\text{MOBILE RECORD} & \\
\text{CHAN} & \quad \text{APP.PROTO} \quad c\?:
\end{align*}
\]

(that can be used with any channel-type)

... networked?
The Application Link Layer

- A simple (ish) infrastructure for linking mobile channel-types (shared and unshared) over TCP/IP networks
  - in essence a simple version of the “pony” (previously KRoC.net) infrastructure [Schweigler et al., 2003]
- Only supports data communication and single-server arrangements
The Application Link Layer

The processes ‘all.cli’ and ‘all.svr’ are dynamically generated process networks written in C, accessed from occam-π with:

PROC all.svr (SHARED MOBILE.CHAN! client, VAL []BYTE sname, usage, ALL.LINK? ctrl, RESULT INT res)
PROC all.cli (MOBILE.CHAN? server, VAL []BYTE sname, ALL.LINK? ctrl, RESULT INT res)

Compiler generates static type-descriptions of mobile channel-types and the protocols within; C code uses this to setup the infrastructure

Extra ‘usage’ parameter to the server defines behaviour:

CHAN TYPE S.INFO
   MOBILE RECORD
      CHAN MOBILE []BYTE req?:
      CHAN MOBILE []BYTE resp!:

all.svr (cli, "**:2345", "**(0 -> 1)", ctrl.svr, res)
(zero or more, ‘req’ followed by ‘resp’)
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The Application Link Layer

- Extra control channel-type currently delivers messages
  - future uses include setting keys for encryption, notifies/acceptance of client connections

- Usage information sent when a client connects, used to determine how claims are handled
  - also used to ensure that client and server operation conforms to the specified behaviour — run-time error if they deviate

- Current implementation causes the network to act as a buffer, which would not affect the behaviour of the application in the case of 'S.INFO'
  - clients can send requests at any time
  - server buffers requests, responses sent to the corresponding clients

- Given the amount of socket I/O and pointer manipulation in the link-layer, programming in C is preferable
Conclusions and Further Work

- CIF supports most of the occam-π mechanisms
  - overheads are largely acceptable — approximately 26ns on a 3.2 GHz P4
  - expected to decrease as CIF matures, but never as lightweight (memory)

- Calling between occam-π and C, e.g. for invoking routines in the other language, needs work
  - should be possible to automate given templates of the routines involved

- Application link-layer works :-), but needs more work before distribution
  - first major use will be to better support networked interaction with the “occam adventure” game (from client to game)
  - more generally, provides a quick way of statically distributing occam-π programs over networks
  - more interesting things once mobile processes can be communicated.
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